October 22–24 Florence teatro del maggio musicale fiorentino

 Finanziato dall'Unione europea NextGenerationEU
 Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca
 Italiadomani internationality
 Italinternationality
 <thItality</th>



Thermo-Rheological Modelling of

the Yellowstone Caldera:

Insights into Volcanic Processes



Perrini M.¹, Gola G.², Tizzani P.¹, Fedi F.³, Brahmi M.^{1,3}, Castaldo R.¹

1. Istituto per il Rilevamento Elettromagnetico dell'Ambiente (IREA), Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR), Via Diocleziano, 328, 80124 Napoli, Italia.

2. Istituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse (IGG), Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR), Via Valperga Caluso, 35, 10125 Torino, Italia.

3. Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, dell'Ambiente e delle Risorse (DiSTAR), Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Via Vicinale Cupa Cintia, 21, 80126 Napoli, Italia.



The Yellowstone Volcanic Field is one of the largest centers of silicic magmatism on Earth

The **Yellowstone hotspot** is responsible for a series of volcanic eruptions over millions of years, creating the **Yellowstone Caldera** and other volcanic features







Yellowstone draws significant scientific attention due to its massive eruption potential and active geothermal system

Yellowstone: cosa accadrebbe se eruttasse il temuto supervulcano?

Spesso si sente dire che Yellowstone stia per eruttare. Ma è davvero così?



Yellowstone è un vulcano attivo situato principalmente in Wyoming, negli USA, sotto l'omonimo parco nazionale. A differenza dei classici vulcani, non è visibile una struttura "a cono" che svetta nel cielo come una montagna: Yellowstone infatti è una "grande caldera", proprio come i <u>l'area dei Campi Flegrei</u> in Campania.



July 23, 2024 a hydrothermal explosion occurred at Biscuit Basin serving as a stark reminder of the volcanic-geothermal hazards in the park

Studying the **thermal state** of the Crust beneath the Yellowstone National Park is crucial as it provides insights into the dynamics of one of the world's most active volcanic systems





GOAL AND APPROACH

Investigate the **thermo-rheological** state of Yellowstone crust, focusing on the interactions between thermal dynamics and crustal mechanics, which are essential for evaluating volcanic activity, geothermal potential, and the region's long-term stability

MODELLING WORKFLOW

Curie Surface Mapping & Geometry set-up

From Aeromagnetic data to Curie surface at depth
Integration of geological and geophysical information for constructing model geometry

3D Conductive Thermal Modelling

Given the large scale of the study area, a conductive approximation is appropriate, as it accurately represents the dominant heat transfer process over vast regions

Thermal Parameters Optimization Process

Iterative Approach aimed at minimize the residuals between MODELLED and MEASURED data

Rheological Model

• Comprehensive modelling of brittle-ductile transition

• Correlation with earthquake distribution (seismicity cut-off)



MODELLING WORKFLOW

Curie Surface Mapping & Geometry set-up

From Aeromagnetic data to Curie surface at depth
Integration of geological and geophysical information for constructing model geometry

3D Conductive Thermal Modelling

Given the large scale of the study area, a conductive approximation is appropriate, as it accurately represents the dominant heat transfer process over vast regions

Thermal Parameters Optimization Process

Iterative Approach aimed at minimize the residuals between MODELLED and MEASURED data

Rheological Model

• Comprehensive modelling of brittle-ductile transition

• Correlation with earthquake distribution (seismicity cut-off)

The Curie iso-surface* was obtained using a high-resolution aeromagnetic dataset, with techniques based on spectral analysis of magnetic anomalies

The **Curie surface** is the depth in the crust where temperatures reach the Curie point, causing magnetic minerals to lose their magnetization

The depth of the Curie surface is important in geophysics because it gives insight into the thermal structure of the Earth's crust and helps identify areas with potential geothermal resources



Model parameters optimization is controlled by the Curie isotherm at 573°C



*The Curie isosurface mapping originates from Dr. *Brahmi Mouna* PhD thesis (2017)



MODELLING WORKFLOW

Curie Surface Mapping & Geometry set-up

From Aeromagnetic data to Curie surface at depth
Integration of geological and geophysical information for constructing model geometry

3D Conductive Thermal Modelling

Given the large scale of the study area, a conductive approximation is appropriate, as it accurately represents the dominant heat transfer process over vast regions

Thermal Parameters Optimization Process

Iterative Approach aimed at minimize the residuals between MODELLED and MEASURED data

Rheological Model

• Comprehensive modelling of brittle-ductile transition

• Correlation with earthquake distribution (seismicity cut-off)

From literature conceptual model to **3D THERMAL MODEL** of the Yellowstone magmatic system





MODELLING WORKFLOW

Curie Surface Mapping & Geometry set-up

 From Aeromagnetic data to Curie surface at depth
 Integration of geological and geophysical information for constructing model geometry

3D Conductive Thermal Modelling

Given the large scale of the study area, a conductive approximation is appropriate, as it accurately represents the dominant heat transfer process over vast regions

Thermal Parameters Optimization Process

Iterative Approach aimed at minimize the residuals between MODELLED and MEASURED data

Rheological Model

• Comprehensive modelling of brittle-ductile transition

• Correlation with earthquake distribution (seismicity cut-off)

PARAMETER OPTIMIZATION $LS(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \cdot (y_{\text{modelled},i}(\mathbf{p}) - y_{\text{measured},i})^2$ Method: COORDINATE SEARCH

Once calculated the Least Square Objective Function (*LS*), representing the difference between simulated model values and experimental data, the associated **RMSE** is given by:

Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) = $\sqrt{\frac{LS \times 2}{N}}$

Where *N=9200* is the **number of experimental data points** from the calculated Curie Surface. The smaller the **RMSE**, the better the model's predictive accuracy.

| | Symbol | Law | Upper Crust | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|-------------------------------|----------------|----------|------------|
| Parameter | | | <mark>scenario 1</mark> k _{ii} = k _{jj} | scenario 2 k _{zz} | Lower Crust | Rhyolite | Basic Body |
| Thermal | 2 | | | | | | |
| conductivity (scenario 1) | $k_{ii} = k_{jj} = k_{zz} [W/(m^3 K)]$ | $k(T) = \left\lfloor k_M + \left(\frac{T_{ref} \cdot T_M}{T_M - T_{ref}} \right) \cdot \left(k_{ii,jjzz} - k_M \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_M} \right) \right\rfloor$ | 2.1* | 2.1* | 4 | 2.4 | 1.6 |
| Thermal | $k_{ii}{=}k_{jj}{\neq}k_{zz}[W/(m^3K)]$ | $k_{12} = 1.8[W/(m^3 K)]$: T = 293[K]: T = 1473[K] | | | | | |
| conductivity (scenario 2) | | [Sekiguchi, 1984] | 2.2* | 1.2* | 4 | 2.4 | 1.6 |
| Heat capacity | $c_p [J/(kg \cdot K)]$ | / | 900 | | 1000 | 840 | 950 |
| Density | $\rho [\text{kg m}^{-3}]$ | / | 2500 | | 2800 | 2500 | 2900 |
| Radiogenic Heat production | $HP_{rad} \left[\mu W \; m^{-3} \right]$ | $A(z) = A_0 \cdot e^{-z/p_a}$ [Lachenruch, 1970] | 4.0* | 4.5* | | | |
| | | D_a [m] | 17.4* | 19.4* | | | |
| Additional Heat production (scenario 1) | $HP \ [\mu W \ m^{-3}]$ | / | 8.0* | / | | | |
| Magmatic Heat production | HP [μW m-3] | / | | | | 1.45* | 19.0* |

*optimized parameters

October 22-24 FLORENCE TEATRO DEL MAGGIO MUSICALE FIORENTINO

- Over **1200 iterations** were conducted, stabilizing RMSE within a temperature range of 165°C
- This indicates that the process achieved a consistent **objective function plateau**
- However, the lateral sides of the surface remain significantly divergent from the expected Curie surface profile, which exhibits a more uniform regional behavior

This discrepancy suggests that adjustments to the modelling approach may be needed to achieve closer alignment with the measured Curie surface



$$\nabla \cdot (-k\nabla T) = HS_{rhyolite} + HS_{basicbody} + A_{radiogenic}$$





October 22-24 FLORENCE TEATRO DEL MAGGIO MUSICALE FIORENTINO

MODEL VALIDATION

Surfac Heat Flux anomaly (SHF)



Average Heat Flow: 100 (outside caldera) -220 (inside caldera) mW/m² Localized Heat Flow (e.g. Geyser Basins): Up to 500 mW/m², with extreme cases reaching 2,000 mW/m²



-3000

-3200

60000

Model

Data

40000

3000

-3500

-4000

Profile

1000

50000

30000

Distance (m)

60000

40000

70000

50000

Curie iso-surface (~573°C)

October 22-24 FLORENCE TEATRO DEL MAGGIO MUSICALE FIORENTINO



FROM THERMAL FOEOLOGY VALIDATION RHEOL GICATHROUGH SEISMIC EVENTS MODELLING DISTRIBUTION

Brittle behaviour is expressed by the linear friction failure law proposed by Sibson (1974):

 $(\boldsymbol{\sigma_1} - \boldsymbol{\sigma_3})_{Brittle} = \beta \cdot \rho \cdot g \cdot (1 - \lambda)$

At sufficiently high temperatures, the creep strength strongly depends on temperature, and **ductile behaviour** can be empirically described by a **power law creep** (Kirby, 1983):

$$(\boldsymbol{\sigma_1} - \boldsymbol{\sigma_3})_{Ductile} = \left(\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{A}\right)^{1/n} e^{\left(\frac{Q}{nRT}\right)}$$





October 22–24 Florence teatro del maggio musicale fiorentino

CONCLUDING REMARKS

1. Development of a Realistic Model

 Integration of geophysical data from imaging techniques, focusing on an iconic caldera and associated magmatic systems.

2. Analysis of Alternative Scenarios

Combined exploration of internal heat sources, adjusting thermal properties for more accurate simulations.

3. Optimization of Solutions:

✓ Implementation of algorithms to minimize the error between modeled and calculated results, achieving precision within a reasonable number of iterations.

Overall impact

A multidisciplinary approach has improved the accuracy and reliability of the simulations.



October 22–24 FLORENCE TEATRO DEL MAGGIO MUSICALE FIORENTINO





Thanks for your attention!







Perrini M.¹, Gola G.², Tizzani P.¹, Fedi F.³, Brahmi M.^{1,3}, Castaldo R.¹

1. Istituto per il Rilevamento Elettromagnetico dell'Ambiente (IREA), Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR), Via Diocleziano, 328, 80124 Napoli, Italia.

2. Istituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse (IGG), Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR), Via Valperga Caluso, 35, 10125 Torino, Italia.

3. Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, dell'Ambiente e delle Risorse (DiSTAR), Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Via Vicinale Cupa Cintia, 21, 80126 Napoli, Italia.